

CITY OF STERLING HEIGHTS
MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, JANUARY 3, 2017
IN CITY HALL

Mayor Michael C. Taylor called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

Mayor Taylor led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag and Mark Carufel, City Clerk, gave the Invocation.

Council Members present at roll call: Deanna Koski, Maria G. Schmidt, Nate Shannon, Doug Skrzyniarz, Michael C. Taylor, Barbara A. Ziarko.

Also Present: Mark Vanderpool, City Manager; Marc D. Kaszubski, City Attorney; Mark Carufel, City Clerk; Carol Sobosky, Recording Secretary.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

Moved by Koski, seconded by Ziarko, to approve the Agenda as presented.

Yes: All. The motion carried.

REPORT FROM CITY MANAGER

Mr. Vanderpool reported that the City offices will be closed for business on Monday, January 16th in honor of Martin Luther King Jr. Day; however, there is no change in refuse collection that week.

Mr. Vanderpool stated that the Christmas Tree Recycling Program is continuing so anyone interested in having their real tree recycled can put it out at their curb prior to January 31st.

Mr. Vanderpool reported that from February 20th through February 24th, the Science, Technology, Engineering, Art and Mathematics (STEAM) Camps will be held at the Rose Kidd Velocity Jr. Center. The City Council has partnered with Utica Community Schools to create Velocity Jr. The idea behind these camps is to get kids interested in these subjects so that they will want to stay in Michigan where the jobs are plentiful in these areas. He encouraged parents who are thinking ahead for the upcoming winter break to consider these workshops. They can call (586) 797-5301 to get more information, or go onto the Utica Community Schools website for registration and sign-ups.

Mr. Vanderpool stated that there are two new SMART busses parked outside this evening, and he introduced Mr. Kyle Langlois, Parks & Recreation Director, to highlight the City's SMART Transportation Program.

Mr. Kyle Langlois provided a brief overview of the SMART Program. He informed that the Parks & Recreation Department is responsible for providing transportation services to Sterling Heights residents for several reasons, including transporting senior citizens and persons with disabilities, and supporting many city-coordinated functions such as the Memorial Day Parade and SterlingFest. This has been done with an aging fleet of vehicles, including two busses acquired in 2005, now having over 242,000 miles collectively. These vehicles have been decommissioned from their fleet, thanks to the City's continued partnership with the Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART). In December, the Parks & Recreation Department took in two new 13-passenger

vehicles at no cost to the City. They are also eagerly awaiting the arrival of a new 28-passenger bus from SMART within the next couple of months. Mr. Langlois informed that these vehicles will be providing new services, one of which is transportation twice weekly to the Warren Community Center for their Senior Center residents. He concluded by stating that the City's valuable partnership with SMART will provide them with the capacity to innovate how they handle transportation moving forward. More information will be provided in a presentation during the upcoming budget hearings. He urged those interested to stay tuned to social media, printed publications and the City's website for more information as these new developments and services are revealed in the months ahead. He stated the busses will remain outside for a short time this evening for anyone interested in getting a closer look at them.

PRESENTATION

Mayor Taylor informed that there were twenty-one residents who applied for the appointment to the vacant elective office of City Council member.

The applicants were called up in alphabetical order to give three-minute presentations on their qualifications for office, reasons for their interest in the office, and their visions for Sterling Heights. The applicants who made presentations were as follows: Steven Bilan, Anita Blanco, Dennis Burin, Eric Castiglia, Jazmine Early, Christopher Goeddeke, Julie Hein, Gary Lusk, Benjamin McMartin, Marko Mitkoski, Jeffrey Norgrove, Michael Radtke Jr., Parmpreet

(Pam) Sarau, Jeanne Schabath, Enver Shaplo, Elizabeth Sierawski and Marco Valente Jr.

Upon completion of the presentations, Mayor Taylor thanked all who applied and took the time to make a presentation. He stated the Council will have a difficult decision to make, and although only one will be selected, he stated the city is always in need of volunteers, and encouraged the applicants to consider that option.

Mayor Taylor called for a brief recess at 8:27 p.m., and the meeting reconvened at 8:35 p.m.

1. ORDINANCE INTRODUCTION

Mr. Chris McLeod, City Planner, presented an introduction of a map amendment to Zoning Ordinance No. 278 to conditionally rezone property on the south side of M-59 (Hall Road), east of Delco Road from C-2 (Planned Comparison Business District) to C-3 (General Business District) for the development of a 10,480-square-foot retail auto sales and service facility, along with a 271-vehicle sales lot that would be located between the sales facility and Hall Road. The proposed conditional rezoning also contemplates a second phase of development that will add a 40,000-square-foot building dedicated to inventory acquisition and liquidation, and that building would be located toward the rear of the site. He reviewed the developments and zoning of the surrounding properties, noting there will be space between this development and the residential development to the south. Artist renderings of the proposed development were shown, and he stated

that the plan also includes decorative lighting along the front of the site, extensive landscaping throughout all portions of the site, and all brick buildings at the front of the site as well. He reviewed the typical hours of operation for a CarMax facility, stating they are open Monday through Saturday from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m. for sales, and 7:30 a.m. to 6 p.m. for service. He stated as CarMax grows in the region, the second building would be constructed and utilized for additional reconditioning for not only this site but other sites throughout the region.

Mr. McLeod outlined the specific conditions which the petitioner has voluntarily offered as part of this Conditional Rezoning. One of those conditions indicates that, although they are not providing all the required trees along the landscaped frontage, they are providing trees elsewhere on the site at a ratio of 2:1, and all trees for both phases will be planted as part of Phase I. If the site ceases in operation at any time, the site would revert to its original zoning. He outlined the time limitations for obtaining site plan approval and building permits, as well as completing construction of the project. He stated Phase II is market-driven so at this point the time frame for that phase is unknown.

Mr. McLeod outlined the variances that are requested as part of the Conditional Rezoning request, and include reduction in parking space size and configuration, maneuvering lane width, tree plantings within frontage greenbelt, tree caliper size and lighting fixture height. The elevations propose an all-brick front retail sales and service building and separate car wash building, with additional architectural

amenities being provided for these buildings, along with the proposed Phase II service and quality control building.

Mr. Phil Ruggeri, representing the applicant, provided a brief overview of CarMax, reporting that they currently have 168 national locations, including one in Grand Rapids. He thanked Mr. McLeod and Mr. Vanderpool for working with them on this proposal to maintain the integrity of the ordinance but at the same time, to maintain the integrity of prototype that has been successful for CarMax, and he stated it is an ideal fit for this narrow strip of property.

Mr. Austin Allen, applicant representing CarMax, provided a PowerPoint presentation on CarMax, showing corporate statistics as well as their plan for this site. He explained Phase I and Phase II, and outlined the proposed hours of operation on Mondays through Saturdays from 7:30 a.m. to 6 p.m. for service, and 9 a.m. to 9 p.m. for sales. He stated the reconditioning of the cars could be a 24-hour operation, depending upon the inventory. He displayed artist renderings of the proposed landscaping as well as building elevations from various angles.

Ms. Linda Godfrey – questioned approval of a Conditional Rezoning for Phase II when it is speculative at this time; concerned about late hours of operation and noise when located close to residential areas; concerned about possible deterioration of residential roads as a result of truck traffic

to and from the site; opposed to lighting height in excess of ordinance requirements.

Mr. Joe Judnick – in favor of proposal, but opposed to variance for trees, considering new landscape ordinance recently passed; opposed to possible 24-hour operation of Phase II because of proximity to residential area.

Mr. Charles Jefferson – opposed to proposal; automotive corridor located on Van Dyke; subject property should be developed with retail use.

Mr. Giulio Russo – tried to purchase a portion of this property years ago, but owner would not split the property, claiming rear portion would be landlocked; in favor of proposal, but would like to see CarMax buy the entire parcel and not leave a portion in the back.

Mr. Thomas Neil – questioned as to whether they are relocating existing trees or planting new trees; suggested planting trees that absorb the most pollution and carbon dioxide.

Mayor Taylor closed the public hearing.

Moved by Schmidt, seconded by Ziarko, **RESOLVED**, to introduce a map amendment to conditionally rezone property on the south side of M-59 (Hall Road), east of Delco Road in Section 2, from C-2 (Planned Comparison Business District) to C-3 (General Business District), Case No. PZ16-1146, and direct Petitioner to proceed to finalize a proposed agreement so that the City Council can further evaluate the offer of conditional rezoning of the property at the February 7th, 2017 regular meeting.

Councilwoman Schmidt questioned what will be put in place during Phase I where Phase II is going to be located.

Mr. Tim Ponton, owner of Stonefield Engineering & Design, explained that Phase II has been fully designed, and all the landscaping for that phase will be incorporated during the construction of Phase I. He noted that there has been mention of a variance for six trees in front, but he stated they will be providing 41 trees overall, especially in an area of the property that will help buffer this site from the abutting residential properties.

Councilwoman Schmidt inquired as to whether the field will remain dirt or grass.

Mr. Ponton replied it will be rough-graded and, since they must meet County drain stormwater retention requirements, it will be an above-ground detention basin.

Councilwoman Schmidt inquired as to where the cars will be entering the garage to receive service.

Mr. Ponton replied the doors are located along the north and south sides of the building. He replied to further inquiry that the doors will not be facing the residential properties on Oleander Drive, although there may be one door on the east side of the building. He stated the doors will remain closed while they are conducting maintenance on the vehicles, noting the building is temperature-controlled and there is no reason for the doors to remain open.

Councilwoman Schmidt inquired as to whether the Monday through Saturday hours of 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. for service and 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. for sales will be specified in the Conditional Rezoning Agreement.

Mr. McLeod replied those are the hours of operation as indicated by the petitioner. They can be included in the agreement, but they must be offered by the petitioner if he feels it is in his best interest to do so. Mr. McLeod stated the City cannot force him to commit to specific hours of operation.

Councilwoman Schmidt stated she is concerned about a 24-hour operation.

Mr. Ponton stated the nearest resident is located approximately 300 feet away from this building, and there is a 50-foot greenbelt proposed with a significant amount of landscaping in addition to a masonry wall. He anticipated the noise levels will most likely be lower than what was submitted.

Councilwoman Schmidt inquired as to whether the decibels are measured at the top of the wall or the bottom of the wall.

Mr. Steve Hudak, Real Estate Manager for CarMax, replied they conducted a sound study at the source where they have the largest noise generated, and sound dissipates over time. He stated this study does not take into consideration the wall or additional landscaping, where the sound levels will lower as they get to those obstructions.

Councilwoman inquired as to whether the petitioner has any speculation as to when they will begin Phase II.

Mr. Ponton replied they do not have a speculation on a time frame for Phase II now. He stated the goal is that Phase I will be successful and they will move forward with Phase II. He stated all the landscaping and all improvements that would benefit the adjacent residential neighbors will be installed during Phase I.

Councilwoman Schmidt inquired as to whether the petitioner will be required to come back before City Council if they change what they want to do with Phase II.

Mr. McLeod replied they would be required to come back before Council, and if there are significant changes, they may have to go back to Planning Commission. He stated if this is approved, the plans will be locked in as currently provided, with regard to the location, elevations and use of the building.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko inquired as to whether CarMax owns the property or whether it is contingent upon approval of this proposal.

Mr. Ponton replied they currently have the property under contract but it is dependent upon full approval.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko inquired as to whether the variances that would be approved with this will stay with the property even if the project does not proceed and someone else develops the property.

Mr. McLeod replied the variances are part of the Conditional Rezoning, so the same conditions may not be applicable for a different development. If the site is developed for this purpose and the use of the property changes in the future, there

must be significant changes made, especially with regard to the parking, to bring the site into compliance.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko inquired as to whether this Council has granted parking variances to other car dealerships.

Mr. McLeod does not believe the City has granted similar variances for parking space dimensions in the past.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko questioned why the additional 4-foot height is needed for the light poles.

Mr. Ponton stated they believe that fewer light poles will be less of an impact to the surrounding area, so by going four feet higher, they may be able to eliminate between 8 to 12 poles. He replied to inquiry that the lighting for Phases I and II are completely designed; however the lighting for Phase II will not be put in until Phase II is developed. He clarified that only the landscaping for Phase II will be put in during the first phase.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko inquired as to the impact the proposed M-59 construction will have on this project.

Mr. Ponton stated they are hopeful it will not create too great of a negative impact because they are on the western edge of the proposed roadwork. He assured they will work in conjunction with what is going on, and intend to begin construction of Phase I as soon as they receive all approvals and building permits.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko inquired as to whether all residents within 300 feet of this property were notified of the rezoning.

Mr. McLeod confirmed the residents within 300 feet were notified.

Mr. Ponton stated this discussion took place over two Planning Commission meetings. A number of residents attended the first meeting, where this proposal was discussed at length. He stated that there were no residents who showed up at the second Planning Commission meeting, and there are no residents here tonight from the abutting subdivision, so he was hopeful that the residents have had their questions answered and are satisfied with the proposal.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko was concerned about the noise from car haulers that will enter and exit the site.

Councilwoman Koski inquired as to whether the Council will see both phases and what has been offered by the petitioner at the February 7th meeting.

Mr. McLeod stated Phase I encompasses the front building as well as the car wash, all the landscaping, parking and display lot. Phase II is the additional storage/prep area, which is the larger building in the back as well as a small additional display area. The Conditional Zoning Agreement will outline what each phase will consist of, putting the site plans into words, and attaching the drawings. He stated the only unknown at this point is the time frame for Phase II, because that is market-driven. Mr. McLeod replied to inquiry that the ordinance requires a 36-month time frame for completion of a development, so if the City

Council felt strongly about it, they can stick to those requirements. If the site is not completed by that time with the completion of Phase II, the Conditional Rezoning agreement would have to be re-evaluated.

Councilwoman Koski inquired as to whether the petitioner can request an extension if the market is not favorable for the addition of Phase II within the required time frame.

Mr. McLeod replied an option would be for the petitioner to come back to request an extension.

Councilwoman Koski inquired as to whether the portion of property south of the proposed site and north of the residential properties will be owned by CarMax or whether it is being retained by the current owner. She questioned what ingress/egress will be provided to that parcel if it is developed in the future.

Mr. McLeod replied the zoning classification itself will not landlock any piece of property. The portion of the property to the south was in front of the Planning Commission about a year ago as a Conditional Rezoning, with access off of Delco, but it did not go anywhere. If the proposed Conditional Rezoning is approved and property splits occur, it may trigger easements. The south portion is zoned C-2 so the access is from Delco. He stated a stub street from a residential subdivision would not be conducive to connect to the C-2 zoned property, unless it was to be developed as residential.

Mayor Taylor stated he is in support of this project. CarMax has a product with which they have been successful throughout the United States at multiple

locations, and although it did not entirely fit exactly with all the City's ordinances, there were a lot of meetings between City administration and CarMax. He thanked CarMax for choosing Sterling Heights and working with them to develop this project, and he thanked the City administration for working on a plan to bring forward. Mayor Taylor expected that it will be successful and Phase II will become a reality before too long. He stated a 50-foot greenbelt should serve as a buffer for the noise.

Mr. McLeod replied to inquiry that a 50-foot greenbelt is a very large greenbelt and not standard in the City.

Yes: All. The motion carried.

2. ORDINANCE INTRODUCTION

Moved by Koski, seconded by Ziarko, **BE IT ORDAINED**, to adopt the first amendment to the Appropriations Ordinance for the 2016/17 fiscal year.

Yes: All. The motion carried.

**CITY OF STERLING HEIGHTS
MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN
ORDINANCE NO. 446 A**

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE "ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE" BY AMENDING THE VARIOUS CITY BUDGETS.

THE CITY OF STERLING HEIGHTS ORDAINS:

ARTICLE I

TITLE

This ordinance shall constitute the "ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE" in accordance with Section 9.14 of the City Charter, the "GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT" in accordance with the Michigan Uniform Budgeting and Accounting Act, MCL 141.436 and the "SPECIAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT" pursuant to Public Act 493 of 2000.

ARTICLE II

The following is an estimate of revenues, by source, in each fund and an appropriation of monies as authorized by law, as may be needed or deemed necessary to defray all expenses and liabilities of the City as specified for the corporate purposes and objects of the City for the fiscal year July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. The City Council does hereby adopt, by budgetary center, the following General Fund and Special Revenue Funds budgets for 2016/17.

Sec. 2.01

GENERAL FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Net General Tax Revenue	\$38,313,350		
Licenses and Permits	2,095,000		
State and Local Returns	<u>14,937,250</u>	15,013,600	
Fines and Forfeitures	2,690,000		
Charges for Services	10,011,620		
Other Revenue	2,853,840		
Cable Revenue	2,625,000		
Use of Fund Balance	<u>0</u>		
 Total General Revenue		 73,526,060	 73,602,410
 Refuse Tax Revenue	 4,469,150		
Police & Fire Pension Tax Revenue	7,994,750		
Safe Streets Tax Revenue	<u>6,868,270</u>		
Total Other Tax Revenue		<u>19,332,170</u>	
Total Revenues		<u>92,858,230</u>	92,934,580
 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Transfers In	<u>0</u>		
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>0</u>	
 Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources		 <u>\$92,858,230</u>	 <u>\$92,934,580</u>

and does hereby designate \$38,323,350 to be raised by 9.4856 mills tax levied for General Purposes on the assessed valuation of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City,

and does hereby designate \$7,994,750 to be raised by 1.9788 mills tax levied on the assessed valuation of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City, for the purpose of meeting appropriations for fire and police pension purposes, as authorized by MCL 38.551, et. seq.,

and does hereby designate \$4,469,150 to be raised by 1.1062 mills tax levied on the assessed valuation of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City, for the purpose of the collection and removal of garbage and trash of the City as authorized by MCL 123.261, et. seq.,

and does hereby designate \$6,868,270 to be raised by 1.7000 mills tax levied on the assessed valuation of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City, for the purpose of providing revenue for police and fire protection as authorized by the electors of the City in approving the Safe Streets Proposal in 2013, et. seq.,

and directs the Treasurer to add a collection fee of one-half (1/2) percent per month to all taxes, charges and assessments paid after September 1, and further, upon all taxes, charges and assessments returned to the County Treasurer upon any delinquent tax roll, a charge of three percent (3%) shall be added and the same shall be collected by the County Treasurer in like manner as and together with the taxes, charges and assessments so returned.

EXPENDITURES & OTHER FINANCING USES

EXPENDITURES

City Administration Department	\$7,086,610	7,087,600	
Public Library Department	2,631,170		
Parks & Recreation Department	1,957,950		
Police Department	34,775,200	34,775,720	
Fire Department	17,326,250	17,427,680	
Public Works Department	6,578,470		
Refuse Collection	4,494,150		
City Development Department	5,625,560	5,650,870	
Community Relations Department	1,091,080	1,091,300	
41-A District Court	3,271,140		
General Expenditures	1,238,270		
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>786,880</u>	734,760	
Total Expenditures		86,862,730	86,939,080

OTHER FINANCING USES

Transfers Out	<u>5,995,500</u>		
Total Other Financing Uses		<u>5,995,500</u>	

Total General Fund

\$92,858,230 **\$92,934,580**

Sec. 2.02

WATER & SEWER OPERATING FUND:

OPERATING REVENUES

Operating Revenues	\$46,882,890		
Use of Net Assets	<u>391,620</u>	407,270	
Total Operating Revenues		<u>\$47,274,510</u>	<u>\$47,290,160</u>

OPERATING EXPENSES

Administration	\$4,099,270		
Water Distribution	18,038,510	18,054,160	
Sewage Collection	<u>25,136,730</u>		

Total Water & Sewer Operating Fund \$47,274,510 \$47,290,160

and does hereby designate the rates to be charged for water and sewage disposal services to be as follows for all bills rendered on or after July 1, 2016.

WATER RATES

Consumption Charges Per Billing Period:	<u>Rate Per Thousand Cubic Ft.</u>
..... First 3,000 cubic feet or less.....	\$22.67
..... All over 3,000 cubic feet.....	28.34
Fixed GLWA fee (single-family residential customers)	\$5.00
Fixed GLWA fee (all other customers).....	7.00
 Meter Charges Per Billing Period	
1 ½"	4.90
2"	12.69
3"	23.28
4"	30.54
6"	48.32
8"	76.95
10"	101.07
16"	115.50

SEWER RATES

This charge shall be based on the amount of water used per billing period:	<u>Rate Per Thousand Cubic Ft.</u>
..... Per 1,000 cubic feet.....	\$39.92
Fixed Macomb County fee (single-family residential customers)	\$6.25
Fixed Macomb County fee (all other customers).....	9.00

BILLING

Bills for water and sewer service shall be rendered periodically as set forth in this article. The billing period for single-family residential customers is quarterly, all other customers are billed monthly. All bills shall be due and payable twenty (20) days from the date thereon. A penalty of six percent (6%) of the amount of the unpaid portion of each current bill shall be added to each bill not paid on or before the due date. An additional penalty of seventeen percent (17%) of the total of the unpaid balance and the six percent (6%) penalty shall be added at the time the delinquent bill is entered upon the tax roll, pursuant to section 35-6 (a) of the Sterling Heights City Code. The City shall establish a minimum water and sewer bill, which shall be based on 900 cubic feet of water usage per billing cycle. There will be an \$80.00 charge per bill for sewer only customers.

For all single-family residential customers of both water and sewer services from the City, the charges billed during the months of September, October, and November shall be reduced by twenty-five percent (25%) of the combined use charge for both water and sewer usage, as specified in this ordinance; provided, however, no customer shall have the charges reduced by an amount more than \$26.00 during the quarterly period billed during the months of September, October, and November.

For all customers who are not single-family residential customers and have both water and sewer services from the City, the charges billed during the months of July, August, and September shall be reduced by twenty-five percent (25%) of the combined use charge for both water and sewer usage, as specified in this ordinance; provided, however, no customer shall have the charges reduced by an amount more than \$8.67 during the monthly period billed during the month of July, \$8.67 during the month of August, and \$8.66 during the month of September.

Sec. 2.03

MAJOR ROAD FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Fund Balance	\$1,032,310	2,564,020	
Federal Grants	0		
State Sources	7,131,000	7,432,750	
Other Revenue	<u>168,000</u>		
Total Revenues		8,331,310	10,164,770

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	<u>500,000</u>		
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>500,000</u>	

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources		<u><u>\$8,831,310</u></u>	<u><u>\$10,664,770</u></u>
---	--	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

EXPENDITURES & OTHER FINANCING USES

EXPENDITURES

Administration Expenses	\$131,070		
Major Street Maintenance	2,637,000		
Major Street Improvements	3,138,000	4,971,460	
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>0</u>		
Total Expenditures		5,906,070	7,739,530

OTHER FINANCING USES

Transfer to Road Bond Debt Retirement Fund	1,925,240		
Transfer to Local Road Fund	<u>1,000,000</u>		
Total Other Financing Uses		<u>2,925,240</u>	

Total Major Road Fund		<u><u>\$8,831,310</u></u>	<u><u>\$10,664,770</u></u>
------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Sec. 2.04

LOCAL ROAD FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Net Property Taxes	\$3,233,330	
State Sources	2,640,000	
Charges for Services	36,000	
Other Revenue	<u>10,470</u>	
Total Revenues		5,919,800

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	400,000	
Transfer from Major Road Fund	<u>1,000,000</u>	
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>1,400,000</u>

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources **\$7,319,800**

and does hereby designate \$3,232,130 to be raised by 0.8000 mills tax levied on the assessed valuation of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City for the purpose of providing revenue for local street improvements as authorized by the electors of the City in approving the Safe Streets Proposal in 2013.

EXPENDITURES & OTHER FINANCING USES

EXPENDITURES

Administration Expenses	\$155,070	
Local Street Maintenance	1,848,000	
Local Street Improvements	4,794,000	5,101,370
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>522,730</u>	215,360
Total Expenditures		7,319,800

OTHER FINANCING USES

Transfer to Road Bond Debt Retirement Fund	<u>0</u>	
Total Other Financing Uses		<u>0</u>

Total Local Road Fund **\$7,319,800**

Sec. 2.05

LAND & WATER CONSERVATION FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Fund Balance	\$487,400	340,940
Federal & State Sources	0	
Other Revenue	<u>2,600</u>	83,600

Total Revenues		490,000	424,540
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Transfer from General Fund	240,000		
Total Other Financing Sources		240,000	
Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources		<u>\$730,000</u>	<u>\$664,540</u>
<u>EXPENDITURES</u>			
Land Acquisition	\$65,000	91,310	
Land Improvements	<u>665,000</u>	573,230	
Total Land & Water Conservation Fund		<u>\$730,000</u>	<u>\$664,540</u>

Sec. 2.06

PUBLIC SAFETY FORFEITURE FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES

Fund Balance	\$154,650	37,430	
Federal Forfeitures	0	111,200	
Treasury Forfeitures	0		
State Forfeitures	1,000	34,260	
Gambling Forfeitures	0	830	
Operating While Intoxicated Forfeitures	0	7,010	
Act 302 Training Funds	30,800		
Interest Income	<u>410</u>	780	
Total Revenues		<u>\$186,860</u>	<u>\$222,310</u>

EXPENDITURES

Federal Forfeitures	\$117,320	124,920	
Treasury Forfeitures	0	23,450	
State Forfeitures	21,240		
Gambling Forfeitures	7,000	9,830	
Operating While Intoxicated Forfeitures	10,500	12,070	
Act 302 Training Funds	<u>30,800</u>		
Total Public Safety Forfeiture Fund		<u>\$186,860</u>	<u>\$222,310</u>

Sec. 2.07

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES

Community Development Block Grant	\$1,182,840	1,260,470	
Total Revenues		<u>\$1,182,840</u>	<u>\$1,260,470</u>

EXPENDITURES

Administrative Expenses	\$179,370		
Books	6,030		
Senior Citizens Home Chore Program	20,000		
Single Parent Education Program	13,000		

Minor Home Repair	26,000	
Handicapped Recreation Program	19,000	
Housing Rehabilitation Program	210,000	
Contributions to Non-Profit Organizations	55,500	
Capital & Other Improvements	<u>653,940</u>	731,570
Total Community Development Block Grant Fund	<u>\$1,182,840</u>	<u>\$1,260,470</u>

Sec. 2.08

CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT AUTHORITY FUND:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Property Taxes	\$25,170	
Federal Grants	0	
Other Revenue	<u>100</u>	
Total Revenues		25,270

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	<u>0</u>	
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>0</u>

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources **\$25,270**

EXPENDITURES

Miscellaneous	\$0
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>25,270</u>

Total Corridor Improvement Authority Fund **\$25,270**

Sec. 2.09

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Fund Balance	\$0	597,850	
Funding from General Government	300,000		
Other Revenue	<u>2,150</u>		
Total Revenues		302,150	900,000

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	<u>0</u>	
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>0</u>

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources **\$302,150** **\$900,000**

EXPENDITURES & OTHER FINANCING USES

EXPENDITURES

Miscellaneous	\$0		
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>302,150</u>	0	
Total Expenditures		302,150	0

OTHER FINANCING USES

Transfer to LDFA Fund	<u>0</u>	900,000	
Total Other Financing Uses		<u>0</u>	900,000

Total Economic Development Corporation Fund \$302,150 \$900,000

Sec. 2.10

BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Property Taxes	\$87,600		
Charges for Services	0		
Other Revenue	<u>1,300</u>		
Total Revenues		88,900	

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	<u>0</u>		
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>0</u>	

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources \$88,900

EXPENDITURES & OTHER FINANCING USES

EXPENDITURES

Miscellaneous	\$0		
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>88,900</u>		
Total Expenditures		88,900	

OTHER FINANCING USES

Transfer to General Fund	<u>0</u>		
Total Other Financing Uses		<u>0</u>	

Total Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Fund \$88,900

Sec. 2.11

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FINANCE AUTHORITY FUND BUDGET:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Fund Balance	\$0	421,500	
Federal Grants	0		
Property Taxes	862,900		
Other Revenue	<u>141,350</u>		
Total Revenues		1,004,250	1,425,750

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from Economic Development Fund	<u>0</u>	900,000	
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>0</u>	900,000

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources

\$1,004,250 \$2,325,750

EXPENDITURES

Incubator Renovations	\$0		
Incubator Operations	824,750		
FCA Reimbursement	0	900,000	
SmartZone Improvements	1,000	601,000	
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>178,500</u>	0	

Total Local Development Finance Authority Fund

\$1,004,250 \$2,325,750

Sec. 2.12

GENERAL DRAIN FUND:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Net Drain Tax Revenue	(\$900)		
Delinquents & Penalties	800		
Other Revenue	<u>100</u>		
Total Revenues			0

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Bond Proceeds – Refunding	<u>0</u>		
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>0</u>	

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources

\$0

EXPENDITURES

Other Charges	\$0		
Principal	0		
Interest	<u>0</u>		

Total Drain Fund

\$0

Sec. 2.13

VOTED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT FUND:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Delinquents & Penalties	\$1,050	
Other Revenue	450	
Federal & State Sources	24,770	
Net Proposal F Tax Revenue	<u>463,880</u>	
Total Revenues		490,150

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	<u>0</u>	
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>0</u>

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources **\$490,150**

and does hereby designate \$465,380 to be raised by 0.1152 mills tax levied on the assessed valuation of all real and personal property subject to taxation in the City, for the purpose of meeting appropriations for public improvement debt service as authorized by the electors of the City in approving Proposal F in 2006.

EXPENDITURES

Proposal F Expenditures	\$484,480	
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>5,670</u>	
Total Voted Tax General Obligation Debt Fund		<u>\$490,150</u>
<u>Sec. 2.14</u>		

ROAD BOND DEBT RETIREMENT FUND:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Special Assessment Revenue	\$145,450	
Interest Income	91,340	
Federal Interest Rebates	<u>31,340</u>	
Total Revenues		268,130

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from Major Road Fund	1,925,240	
Transfer from Road Bond Construction Fund	<u>0</u>	
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>1,925,240</u>

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources **\$2,193,370**

EXPENDITURES

Principal	\$1,625,000	
Interest	516,000	
Other Fees	1,350	
Contribution to Fund Balance	<u>51,020</u>	

Total Road Bond Debt Retirement Fund **\$2,193,370**

Sec. 2.15

LIMITED TAX GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT FUND:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Other Revenue	\$0		
Total Revenues			0

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	356,080		
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>356,080</u>	

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources		<u>\$356,080</u>	
---	--	-------------------------	--

EXPENDITURES

Principal	\$305,000		
Interest	50,850		
Other Fees	230		

Total Limited Tax General Obligation Debt Fund	<u>\$356,080</u>		
---	-------------------------	--	--

Sec. 2.16

CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Fund Balance	\$0	778,130	
State & Local Returns	0	100,000	
Other Revenue	326,120		
Total Revenues		326,120	1,204,250

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Transfer from General Fund	4,499,420		
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>4,499,420</u>	

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources		<u>\$4,825,540</u>	<u>\$5,703,670</u>
---	--	---------------------------	---------------------------

EXPENDITURES

Capital Equipment	\$823,420	942,040	
Capital Vehicles	1,724,700	1,738,860	
Capital Projects	1,709,300	3,022,770	
Contribution to Fund Balance	568,120	0	

Total Capital Projects Fund		<u>\$4,825,540</u>	<u>\$5,703,670</u>
------------------------------------	--	---------------------------	---------------------------

Sec. 2.17

CLINTON RIVER RESTORATION FUND:

REVENUES

Federal Grants	<u>\$0</u>	3,865,170	
Total Revenues		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,865,170</u>

EXPENDITURES

Capital Projects	<u>\$0</u>	3,865,170	
Total Clinton River Restoration Fund		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,865,170</u>

Sec. 2.18

ROAD BOND CONSTRUCTION FUND:

REVENUES & OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

REVENUES

Fund Balance	\$0	6,284,080	
Other Revenue	5,000	25,000	
Federal Grants	<u>3,080,000</u>	2,678,950	
Total Revenues		3,085,000	8,988,030

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES

Proceeds from Long-Term Debt	1,795,000		
Transfer from Major Road Fund	<u>0</u>		
Total Other Financing Sources		<u>1,795,000</u>	

Total Revenues & Other Financing Sources		<u>\$4,880,000</u>	<u>\$10,783,030</u>
---	--	--------------------------------------	----------------------------

EXPENDITURES & OTHER FINANCING USES

EXPENDITURES

Construction	<u>\$4,880,000</u>	10,783,030	
Total Expenditures		4,880,000	10,783,030

OTHER FINANCING USES

Transfer to Major Road Fund	<u>0</u>		
Total Other Financing Uses		<u>0</u>	

Total Road Bond Construction Fund		<u>\$4,880,000</u>	<u>\$10,783,030</u>
--	--	--------------------------------------	----------------------------

ARTICLE III

The City Council adopts the following fee schedule for public records and services provided by the City of Sterling Heights for the fiscal year July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. Any parts of resolutions and ordinances in conflict with this article are repealed. This article is intended to preserve all existing charges

and fees set forth in any resolution, ordinance, or law which are not in conflict with this article and to fulfill the requirements of any ordinance authorizing the City Council to establish fees by resolution.

Fees for public records not set forth in this article, or in any other resolution, ordinance, or law, shall be set by the City Manager in accordance with Act 442 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended. Fees for public services not specifically set forth in this article or in any other resolution, ordinance, or law may be established by the City Manager, who shall promptly notify the City Council in writing of each of them. The City Manager shall establish fees for public services based upon the cost of providing the public service.

Sec. 3.01

ASSESSING OFFICE:

Lot Splits.....	560.00
Lot Combinations.....	460.00
Processing Labels (per page)	1.35
Resident Field Sheet Fee.....	2.00
Apartment Listing (Names/Sidwells/Assessments)	65.00
Shopping Center List	65.00
Section or Subdivision Listing (per page).....	1.00
Assessing, Board of Review, & Sales Information (first page)	2.00
Additional Pages	0.30
Plat Map.....	135.00
Custom Assessment Information Report	55.00
IFEC Extension Application Fee	585.00
IFEC Request for Extension to Complete Project Application Fee	585.00
IFEC Request for Revision of Final Project Cost Application Fee.....	585.00
Application to Establish a Speculative Building Designation Fee.....	585.00
Tax Hardship Income Limits:	
1 Person.....	14,250.00
2 Person.....	16,250.00
3 Person.....	20,090.00
4 Person.....	24,250.00
5 Person.....	28,410.00
6 Person.....	32,570.00
7 Person.....	36,730.00
8 Person.....	40,890.00
Each Additional Person.....	4,160.00

Sec. 3.02

BUILDING OFFICE:

Fence Permit	39.00
Fence Permit – Masonry, Separation	118.00
Board of Code Appeals.....	231.00
Reestablish Expired Permit Fee	68.00
Overtime Inspections, Each Hour (4 hour minimum).....	91.00
Special Inspections, Each Trade (Residential).....	52.00
Special Inspections, Each Trade (Commercial)	74.00

Building Code Publication	Cost + 14.00 Administration fee
Building Moving Permit	303.00
Municipal Civil Infraction:	
Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third or Subsequent Repeat Offenses	1,500.00
Electrical Work	
Application Fee (Non-refundable)	37.00
Permit fee (minimum).....	49.00
Electrical Contractor's Registration	27.00
Fire Alarm Contractor's Registration.....	27.00
Sign Contractor's Registration.....	27.00
Furnace Wiring	28.00
Air Conditioner Reconnect	28.00
Circuits: Each (new or extended).....	22.00
Fixtures: Each 25	22.00
Motors, Power, Heating Units, Furnaces, Transformers:	
Each ¼ to 10 HP.....	28.00
Each 11 to 30 HP.....	33.00
Each 31 to 50 HP.....	38.00
Each 51 to 60 HP.....	44.00
Each 61 and over	58.00
Feeders (Conduit, Wireways, Bus Ducts, Cables):	
Each 100 ft. (or less)	51.00
Refrigeration Units:	
Air Conditioning (residential)	28.00
Interruptible Service.....	28.00
Air Conditioning (commercial):	
Up to 5 Tons.....	33.00
5 to 40 Tons.....	51.00
Over 40 Tons.....	76.00
Electric Ranges, Ovens, Dryers, Water Heaters, X-Ray Equipment:	
Each unit of type	28.00
Swimming Pools/Hot Tubs (all)	57.00
Service (Lights, Heat, and Power):	
100 Ampere or less.....	28.00
101 to 500 Ampere.....	38.00
501 to 900 Ampere.....	51.00
900 Ampere or more	63.00
Signs: .. Sign Circuit	44.00
Sign Tag Inspection.....	66.00
Sign Connection	71.00
General Repair & Alterations (per hour or fraction thereof).....	59.00
Generator Connection	51.00
Motion Picture Apparatus	51.00
Special Inspections not specifically covered:	
Festivals	134.00
Carnivals	134.00
Circuses.....	134.00

Shop Inspection.....	75.00
Theatrical Road Show	75.00
Christmas Tree Lot.....	75.00
Temporary Wiring:	
Display Area (Sq. Ft.):	
Up to 100,000 sq. ft.....	68.00
Over 100,000 to 200,000 sq. ft.....	126.00
Over 200,000 to 300,000 sq. ft.....	151.00
Over 300,000 to 400,000 sq. ft.....	223.00
Over 400,000 sq. ft.....	298.00
Outline Tubing – Connection or Tag Inspection:	
Each 100 feet.....	75.00
Mobile Home and Trailer Park Inspections (minimum)	52.00
Fire Alarm Inspection (minimum)	72.00
Plan Review Fee.....	99.00
Manual Pull Station.....	13.00
A/V, Strobe, Voice Speaker	13.00
Water Flow/Tamper Switch	13.00
Heat or Smoke Detector	13.00
Flame, Duct Detector	13.00
Auxiliary Panel.....	13.00
Electric Door Release.....	13.00
Elevator Recall Status	13.00
Fire Alarm Circuit	21.00
Alterations to existing system.....	59.00
Reinspection Fee	59.00
Miscellaneous Fire Alarm Fee	59.00

Plumbing Work

Application Fee (Non-refundable)	41.00
Permit Fee (minimum)	49.00
Plumber's Registration.....	1.00
Journeyman Plumber's Registration	0.50
Fixture Inspection: (New and Replacement)	
New Installation (minimum)	49.00
New Stack or Stack Alteration	19.00
Air Admittance Valve	19.00
Roof conductor.....	19.00
Sump or interceptor.....	19.00
Backflow preventor.....	19.00
Pump or Water Lift	19.00
Hose connection (sillcocks)	19.00
Water treatment device.....	19.00
Water closets	19.00
Shower traps.....	19.00
Baths.....	19.00
Water heater	33.00
Tankless water heater	33.00
Basement Waterproofing.....	49.00
Sinks (any description).....	19.00

Lavatories	19.00
Laundry trays	19.00
Floor drains	19.00
Ice Maker	19.00
Back Water Valve	19.00
Thermal Expansion Tank	19.00
Bidet	19.00
Dental Chair	19.00
Grease or Oil Interceptor.....	19.00
Drinking fountains	19.00
Soda fountains/bars	19.00
Waste opening.....	19.00
Humidifiers	19.00
Food waste grinders	19.00
Dishwashers	19.00
Urinals.....	19.00
Whirlpools.....	38.00
Lawn Sprinkler Systems.....	44.00
All other fixtures not mentioned	19.00
Reinspection Fee.....	59.00
Special Equipment (automatic laundry, humidifier, beverage vending machine, vacuum systems, nitrous oxide, oxygen, nitrogen, medical air):	
Automatic Machines (minimum)	33.00
Each additional.....	19.00
Building Sewer Connection – sump connection	51.00
Drains:	
Storm drains to catch basin for main storm sewer	298.00
Lines less than 4” in diameter	29.00
Lines less than 6” in diameter	34.00
Lines less than 8” in diameter	45.00
Lines less than 10” in diameter	59.00
Lines less than 12” in diameter	75.00
Lines less than 14” in diameter	89.00
Lines less than 16” in diameter	105.00
Lines less than 18” in diameter	120.00
Lines exceeding 18” in diameter (per inch)	17.00
Water Distribution System:	
3/4”	23.00
1”	34.00
1 1/4”	39.00
1 1/2”	52.00
2”	68.00
2 1/2”	98.00
3”	112.00
4”	126.00
Exceeding 4”	150.00
Replace piping, no increase in size	39.00

Mechanical Work

Application Fee (Non-refundable)	37.00
--	-------

Permit Fee (minimum)	49.00
License/Registration.....	15.00
Gas-Fired Equipment; Oil Burners; New or Replacement –	
Burners with input:	
up to 75,000.....	51.00
75,001 to 500,000.....	58.00
500,001 – 1,000,000.....	102.00
1,000,001 – 2,000,000.....	116.00
2,000,001 – 3,000,000.....	145.00
over 3,000,000.....	174.00
Air Handlers:	
Up to 2,000 CFM	51.00
Over 2,000 CFM	102.00
Duct Work.....	51.00
Hydronic Piping	59.00
Gas Piping:	
Mains up to 2”	44.00
Mains 2 ½” to 4”	58.00
Mains over 4”	72.00
Each Opening off of Main.....	16.00
Factory Built Chimneys:	
Up to 8”	22.00
9” to 12”	33.00
Over 12”	44.00
Pre-Fab Fireplace	58.00
Flue Liner.....	38.00
Exhaust Fans:	
Up to 400 cfm.....	17.00
401 cfm to 1,000 cfm	22.00
1,001 cfm to 4,000 cfm	33.00
Over 4,000 cfm.....	44.00
Dryer Vents	22.00
Kitchen Hood and Duct (UL300/FM200).....	52.00
Spray Booth Hood and Duct	52.00
Alterations to existing installations.....	59.00
Alterations to existing boilers	59.00
Reinspection Fee	59.00
Refrigeration Systems –	
Self Contained:	
2 Tons or Less, each.....	44.00
Over 2 to 5 Tons, each.....	51.00
Alterations to each system.....	59.00
Remote Systems:	
5 Tons or Less, each.....	51.00
Over 5 to 50 Tons, each.....	72.00
Over 50 Tons, each.....	116.00
Alterations to each system.....	59.00
Cooling Towers.....	72.00
Stand Pipes and Fire Suppression:	
Riser pipe up to 4” diameter.....	45.00

Riser pipe up to 6" diameter.....	75.00
Riser pipe up to 8" diameter.....	105.00
Riser pipe 8" or more diameter	223.00
Each suppression opening (each head of the system)	5.50
Alteration to existing system.....	59.00
Flammable and Bulk Storage Tanks:	
Tanks under 500 Gallons.....	51.00
Tanks under 5,000 Gallons.....	66.00
Tanks under 20,000 Gallons.....	87.00
Tanks under 50,000 Gallons.....	102.00
Tanks under 200,000 Gallons.....	116.00
Tanks over 200,000 Gallons.....	217.00

Buildings

Application Fee (Non-refundable).....	37.00
Plan Review Deposits (Non-refundable):	
Single Family Residential	560.00
Commercial Alteration.....	223.00
New Commercial/Industrial Building	1,115.00
Commercial/Industrial Addition	560.00
Building Permit Fees (all use groups):	
Valuation to \$1,000.....	57.00
Valuation \$1,001 to \$10,000.....	57.00 + 15.00 per 1,000.00 over 1,000.00
Valuation \$10,001 to \$100,000.....	226.00 + 6.00 per 1,000.00 over 10,000.00
Valuation \$100,001 to \$500,000.....	952.00 + 6.00 per 1,000.00 over 100,000.00
Valuation \$500,001 and over	4,130.00 + 6.00 per 1,000.00 over 500,000.00
Residential Bond (5% Retained).....	520.00
Temporary C/O Refundable Bond.....	540.00
Multi-Family Bond (5% Retained)	780.00
Commercial Bond (5% Retained).....	1,580.00
Industrial Bond (5% Retained)	3,140.00
Mobile Homes.....	152.00
Plan Review Fee:	
Valuation \$0 - \$500,000.....	0.0040 of valuation but not less than 100.00
Valuation over \$500,000.....	2,420.00 + 0.0015 of valuation over 500,000.00
Residential Plan Review: If Plan Number is on File.....	112.00
Additional Expedited Commercial Interior Alteration Plan Review Fee.....	204.00
Miscellaneous Plan Review	68.00
Misc. Items (concrete, antennas, awnings, sheds, canopies, tents, gazebos, decks, porches, reroofs)	68.00
Pigeon Loft Inspection	68.00
Replacement of Public Sidewalk Section(s) by Abutting Property Owner.....	37.00
Use Permit (tenant space)	98.00
Fire Repair/Water Repair	236.00
Fire Inspection Fee (New City Businesses)	92.00
Reinspection Fee.....	59.00
Demolition:	
Plan review and administration base fee	68.00 + 0.10 per square ft.
Swimming Pools:	
Above Ground.....	72.00

Below Ground	145.00
Signs: ..	
Application Fee (non-refundable)	37.00
Plan Review Fee.....	68.00
Permanent.....	150.00
Temporary	68.00
Contractor Registration Fee	27.00
Residential Basement Finish	236.00
Residential Interior Finish.....	236.00
Minor Commercial Alterations under 400 sq. ft.	223.00

Sec. 3.03

CITY ADMINISTRATION:

Amusement Device License:

Types A & B	965.00 + 63.00 per device
Renewal Fee	197.00 + 37.00 per device
Type C.....	965.00
Renewal Fee	198.00

Attorney Services.....Attorney fees & costs

Auction Sales License (per day)	25.00
Auctioneer License	58.00
Business Registry License	48.00
Carnival/Festival License.....	76.00 + 6.00 each booth, ride, etc.
Cigarette Vending Machine License.....	67.00 + 6.00 each additional machine
Death and Birth Certificate (Non-FOIA)	24.00
Additional Copies (Non-FOIA)	9.00

Dog License:

Newly Acquired Dog - Jan. 1 to Oct. 31 OR License Renewal - Jan. 1 to Mar. 31:

 Spayed/Neutered Dog:

1-Year License	8.00
2-Year License	14.00
3-Year License	20.00

 Unaltered Dog:

1-Year License	21.00
2-Year License	40.00
3-Year License	59.00

Newly Acquired Dog - Nov. 1 to Dec. 31

 Spayed/Neutered Dog:

1-Year License	4.00
----------------------	------

 Unaltered Dog:

1-Year License	10.50
----------------------	-------

Newly Acquired Dog - Registering later than 30 days after acquisition:

Additional Late Fee.....	10.00
--------------------------	-------

License Renewal - After Mar. 31:

Additional Late Fee.....	10.00
--------------------------	-------

Senior (60+) Owner.....No charge

Service Dog.....No charge

Potentially Dangerous Dog Annual License Fee

.....	57.00
-------	-------

Regular City Council Meeting

Tuesday, January 3, 2017

Page 34

Replacement Dog Tag.....	4.50
Fire Inspection Fee (new City businesses – charged by Building)	92.00
Going Out of Business Sales License	50.00
Renewal Fee	50.00
House Moving License	76.00
Industrial Development District Filing Fee.....	1,000.00
Industrial Facilities Exemption Certificate Filing Fee	2,100.00
Industrial Facilities Exemption Certificate Application Amendment Fee	1,000.00
Industrial Facilities Exemption Certificate Transfer Fee	1,000.00
New Personal Property Exemption Fee	2,100.00
Junk Yard License.....	280.00
Medical Marijuana Location Registration Fee.....	355.00
Mobile Vending License.....	193.00
Pawnbroker License.....	500.00
Refuse Collection License	101.00 + 7.00 each truck
Secondhand Goods Merchant Registration Fee	106.00
Snow Removal License.....	197.00
Solicitor/Peddler License	126.00
Tattoo Permit	580.00
Taxi License (annual fee).....	99.00+ 12.00 per vehicle
Massage Establishment License.....	665.00
Renewal Fee Massage Establishment License	335.00
Precinct Map.....	5.00
City Street Map	6.00
Zoning Map.....	5.00
City Budget	53.00
Municipal Improvement Program	33.00
City Financial Audit.....	33.00
Collective Bargaining Agreement.....	6.30
Election Results	9.80
Voter and Business Registry Labels (per page)	1.35
Voter and Business Registry Lists (per computer hour)	Payroll + 0.05/page
Voter Data CD	30.00
Liquor License: Class C.....	2,120.00
Tavern	2,120.00
SDD & SDM.....	2,120.00
Entertainment and/or Dance Permit	2,120.00
Extended Hours Permit	2,120.00
Shareholder Partial Transfer.....	1,050.00
Hotel/Motel License.....	2,120.00
Renewal Fee Hotel/Motel License	1,050.00
Certifications.....	6.00
Photo Copies – Black & White (Non-FOIA)	2.00
Additional Pages – Black & White (Non-FOIA)	0.30
Photo Copies – Color (Non-FOIA).....	2.00
Additional Pages – Color (Non-FOIA)	0.85
Microfilm Copies (per page) (Non-FOIA).....	5.60
Recording Fee (formerly Encroachment Fee).....	50.00
Notary Fee.....	10.00
Audio Tape Recordings - prepay minimum (Non-FOIA).....	20.00

Fireworks Display Permit	730.00
Close Proximity Pyrotechnic Display Permit.....	730.00
Sterlingfest Art Fair Applications	230.00
Double Booth Fee	410.00
Corner Booth Additional Charge	30.00
Sterlingfest Art Fair Vendor Jury Fee (Non-Refundable).....	17.00
“Taste of the Town” Sterlingfest Booth – Food Cart Vendors	665.00
“Taste of the Town” Sterlingfest Booth - Restaurateurs.....	1,515.00
DVD Dubs	
Resident (Non-FOIA).....	27.00
Non-Resident (Non-FOIA)	37.00
Gazebo Rental (per event):	
Resident.....	60.00
Non-Resident.....	92.00
Gazebo Rental for Photographs Only (per 1 hour rental):	
Resident.....	33.00
Non-Resident.....	46.00
Upton House Rental – (per 4 hour rental):	
Resident.....	60.00
Non-Resident.....	92.00
Sterling Heights Magazine Advertisement Fees:	
Full Page Ad (Full Color).....	1,569.00
Full Page Ad (Two Color).....	1,344.00
One-Half Page Ad (Full Color).....	897.00
One-Half Page Ad (Two Color)	784.00
One-Fourth Page Ad (Full Color)	506.00
One-Fourth Page Ad (Two Color)	448.00
Business Card Ad (Full Color).....	336.00
Business Card Ad (Two Color).....	279.00
Name & Phone Number Ad	112.00
Three-Edition Ad Commitment (Minimum).....	20% Discount
Environmental Recovery Fee.....	Cost + 25% Administrative Fee
Municipal Civil Infraction:	
Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,500.00
Municipal Civil Infraction (Failure to Comply with Owner/Keeper of a Potentially Dangerous Dog Requirements):	
First Violation	500.00
First Repeat Offense.....	750.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,000.00
Municipal Civil Infraction (Failure to License a Dog with the City Clerk):	
First Violation	500.00
First Repeat Offense.....	750.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,000.00
Reduced Fee if Licensed Prior to Court Appearance	150.00

Sec. 3.04

Water & Sewer Permit Revision Review Fee	105.00
Public Services Agreement Execution Fee	34.00
Recording Fee	40.00
Water & Sewer Debt Service Agreement Finance Charge	20%
Document Copying for:	
24" x 36" Prints (Xerox 2510 or blueline)	8.00 each
34" x 34" Prints (Xerox 2510 or blueline)	8.00 each
Larger than 34" x 34" (Xerox 2510 or blueline)	8.00 each
Detail Sheets (Mylar)	10.00 each
Topographic Map 36" x 36" (blueline)	43.00 each
Planimetric Map 36" x 36" (blueline)	26.00 each
Overall Watermain Map (blueline)	9.00 each
Overall Sanitary Sewer Map (blueline)	9.00 each
Overall Storm Sewer Map (blueline)	9.00 each
Master Storm Sewer Plan (book form)	50.00 each
Master Watermain Plan (book form)	38.00 each
Master Sanitary Sewer Plan (book form)	38.00 each
Master Road Plan (book form) 1998 HRC	50.00 each
Municipal Civil Infraction for Various Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Regulations:	
First and Subsequent Repeat Offenses	750.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Unabated Knowing Violations of City Code Chapter 17:	
Each Violation	5,000.00
First Repeat Offense	7,500.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses	10,000.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Unabated Knowing Violations After a Notice of Determination:	
Each Violation	10,000.00
First Repeat Offense	15,000.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses	20,000.00
*Class 1 projects include drain enclosures, channel improvements, sanitary sewer, water main, and pavement overlay.	
**Class 2 projects include new road construction or reconstruction, bridges, pumping stations, etc.	

Sec. 3.06

FIRE DEPARTMENT:

Fire Reports: First Page (Non-FOIA)	12.00
Additional Pages (Non-FOIA)	1.00
Digital Photographs:	
5" x 7" Color Print (Non-FOIA)	33.00
8" x 10" Color Print (Non-FOIA)	33.00
8" x 10" Contact Sheet (Non-FOIA)	33.00
Compact Disc (Non-FOIA)	26.00
Burning Permit	205.00
Fireworks Sales Permit	730.00
Fireworks Display Permit	730.00
Close Proximity Pyrotechnic Display Permit	730.00
Board of Code Appeals	245.00
Witnessed Acceptance Test Fee	173.00
Off-Hour Witnessed Acceptance Test Fee (3 hour minimum)	797.00

Each additional hour	266.00
Reinspection Fee for Witnessed Acceptance Test	173.00
Phase I Site Inspection (1 hour minimum).....	61.00 + 36.00 per hour
Special Fire Prevention Inspection (festivals, craft shows, carnivals, haunted houses, flea markets)	
Each Inspection	188.00
Each Re-Inspection	188.00
Off-Hour Inspection (3 hour minimum).....	266.00
Each Additional Hour.....	78.00
Off-Hour Re-Inspection (3 hour minimum)	266.00
Each Additional Hour.....	78.00
Plan Review Fee	163.00
Resubmitted Plan Review Fee	54.00
Explosive Materials Permit Fee	200.00
Requested Fire Services (Schools, Businesses, Hazmat Incidents, etc.).....	Cost + 30%
Reinspection Fees:	
First Reinspection.....	No Fee
Second and Subsequent Reinspections.....	116.00
False Alarm Fees: (within a 12 month period)	
First Response	No Fee
Second Response.....	155.00
Third Response.....	325.00
Fourth and Subsequent Responses	655.00
Municipal Civil Infraction:	
First Offense Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,500.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Violation of a Stop Work Order:	
First Offense Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,500.00

Sec. 3.07

NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES:

Administrative Warrant.....	125.00
Board of Ordinance Nuisance Abatement Appeals.....	225.00
Board of Ordinance Noxious Weed Appeals	50.00
Single Family Res. Non-Homestead Inspection Fee (biennial)	130.00
Nuisance Abatement Administrative Fee.....	25%
Nuisance Abatement Agreement Execution Fee.....	Attorney Fee + 25%
Sidewalk Snow Removal Fee	Cost + 25% + 59.00
Claimed Signs:	
16 sq. ft. or less	5.00 each
17 – 31 sq. ft.....	25.00 each
32 sq. ft. and over	120.00 each
Code Enforcement Inspection.....	58.00

Real Estate Sign Removal Fee	Contract Costs + 25% Administration Fee
Municipal Civil Infraction (Residential Parking and Signs):	
Each Violation.....	100.00
First Repeat Offense.....	250.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	500.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Prohibited Parking during a Snow Emergency:	
Each Violation.....	25.00
First Repeat Offense.....	100.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	125.00

Sec. 3.08

PARKS & RECREATION:

Pavilion Rental:

Weekday (Resident).....	68.00
(Non-Resident).....	102.00
Weekend (Resident).....	91.00
(Non-Resident).....	136.00

Picnic Kits:

Weekday (Resident).....	11.00
(Non-Resident).....	16.00
Weekend (Resident).....	31.00
(Non-Resident).....	46.00

School Field Trips (WCS/UCS Schools).....	31.00
(All Other Districts per class).....	46.00
Children’s Nature Classes (Resident).....	8.00
(Non-Resident).....	12.00
Scout Badge Classes (Resident).....	9.00
(Non-Resident).....	13.00
Birthday Parties (Resident).....	118.00
(Non-Resident).....	153.00

1 Child Per Family (Resident).....	51.00
(Non-Resident).....	76.00
2 Children Per Family (Resident).....	57.00
(Non-Resident).....	86.00

Summer Playground:

Resident.....	106.00
Non-Resident.....	159.00
Field Trip Bus Fee (Per Person).....	8.00

Special Recreation Dances:

Pre-Registered (Resident).....	7.50
(Non-Resident).....	10.50
Registration at the Door (Resident).....	9.50
(Non-Resident).....	13.50
Staff Registration.....	1.00
Prom Dance – Individual (Resident).....	17.50
(Non-Resident).....	26.25

Special Recreation Playgrounds:

Regular City Council Meeting

Tuesday, January 3, 2017

Page 40

Physically or Otherwise Health Impaired (P.O.H.I.) – Individual (Resident).....	159.00
(Non-Resident).....	239.00
Physically or Otherwise Health Impaired (P.O.H.I.) – Family (Resident).....	268.00
(Non-Resident).....	402.00
Mentally Impaired (M.I.) – Individual (Resident).....	159.00
(Non-Resident).....	239.00
Mentally Impaired (M.I.) – Family (Resident).....	268.00
(Non-Resident).....	402.00
Special Recreation:	
Crafts (Resident)	8.00
(Non-Resident).....	12.00
Creative Cooking (Resident).....	8.00
(Non-Resident).....	12.00
Line Dance Exercise (Resident)	45.00
(Non-Resident)	67.00
Softball – Individual (Resident)	50.00
(Non-Resident).....	65.00
Softball – 2nd player - Same Family (Resident)	40.00
(Non-Resident).....	55.00
Softball – 3rd player - Same Family (Resident).....	30.00
(Non-Resident).....	45.00
Farmers Market:	
Advanced Pay-Full Season.....	332.00
Per-Day Rate	23.00
Electricity (Additional Fee Per Day).....	5.00
Vendor Alcohol Permit Per Day	5.00
Vendor Alcohol Permit Full Season.....	51.00
Coffeehouse Tickets:	
Advance Tickets (Resident)	15.00
(Non-Resident)	19.00
Subscription (Resident).....	25.00
(Non-Resident)	33.00
At the Door Sales (Resident).....	16.00
(Non-Resident)	21.00
Karate:	
Resident.....	51.00
Non-Resident.....	66.00
Yoga/Fitness Classes:	
Resident.....	43.00
Non-Resident.....	56.00
Zumba:	
Resident.....	61.00
Non-Resident.....	79.00
Men’s Gym:	
Resident.....	46.00
Non-Resident.....	59.00
Senior Boys Basketball	500.00
Men’s Softball.....	908.00
Co-ed Softball	592.00
Women’s Softball	592.00

Regular City Council Meeting

Tuesday, January 3, 2017

Page 41

Ball Field Rental Fees – Seasonal:	
Delia or LWB Park (per field per day):	
MABF Teams/Church Teams.....	398.00
All Other Users.....	423.00
All Users – Lighted Fields Additional Fee (per day).....	63.00
Jaycee Park (per field per day):	
MABF Teams/Church Teams.....	275.00
All Other Users.....	300.00
Ball Field Rental Fees – Daily:	
Delia or LWB Park (per field per day):	
MABF Teams/Church Teams.....	69.00
All Other Users.....	79.00
All Users – Lighted Fields Additional Fee (per day).....	64.00
Jaycee Park (per field per day):	
MABF Teams/Church Teams.....	47.00
All Other Users.....	57.00
Ball Field Request to Groom/Stripe Additional Fee	Cost + 25%
Soccer Field Rental Fees (per field per season):	
Travel Clubs:	
Seasonal 1-3 days/week.....	570.00
Seasonal 4-7 days/week.....	870.00
Camp/Other	
Weekly (one week only).....	204.00
Daily.....	85.00
Sand Volleyball League.....	233.00
Daddy/Daughter Dance:	
Resident Couple.....	46.00
Non-Resident Couple.....	60.00
Additional Resident Daughter.....	20.00
Additional Non-Resident Daughter.....	25.00
Mother/Son Cookout:	
Resident Couple.....	18.00
Non-Resident Couple.....	24.00
Gymnastics:	
One-half Hour Class (Resident).....	46.00
(Non-Resident).....	69.00
One Hour Class (Resident).....	57.00
(Non-Resident).....	85.00
Dance:	
Fall Session (Resident).....	53.00
(Non-Resident).....	68.00
Winter/Spring Session (Resident).....	85.00
(Non-Resident).....	110.00
30 Minute Dance Classes:.....	
Fall Session (Resident).....	42.00
(Non-Resident).....	54.00
Winter/Spring Session (Resident).....	54.00
(Non-Resident).....	70.00
Ballroom:	

Resident.....	41.00
Non-Resident.....	61.00
Senior Center Activities:	
Arthritis Exercise (Resident).....	5.00
(Non-Resident).....	7.00
Exercise (Resident).....	6.00
(Non-Resident).....	9.00
Golf League (Resident).....	17.00
(Non-Resident).....	25.00
Line Dance (Resident).....	5.00
(Non-Resident).....	7.00
Senior News Subscription per year (Resident).....	15.00
(Non-Resident).....	22.50
Stained Glass Class (Resident).....	7.00
(Non-Resident).....	10.50
Tai Chi (Resident).....	6.00
(Non-Resident).....	9.00
Zumba Gold (Resident).....	5.00
(Non-Resident).....	7.00
Senior Bus Trip:	
1 day.....(Resident).....	8.00
(Non-Resident).....	12.00
2-4 days....(Resident).....	15.50
(Non-Resident).....	23.25
Extended..(Resident).....	34.00
(Non-Resident).....	51.00
SMART Bus Day Trips (Resident).....	4.00
(Non-Resident).....	6.00
Senior Activity Fee - per day (Resident).....	0.25
(Non-Resident).....	0.50
Senior Center Gymnasium Activities:	
Track Usage Fee – After Hours (Resident).....	1.00
(Non-Resident).....	1.50
Gymnasium Usage Fee – After Hours (Resident).....	2.00
(Non-Resident).....	3.00
Parks and Recreation Plan.....	9.00

Sec. 3.09

PLANNING:

Special Approval Land Use	580.00
Temporary Use.....	424.00
Administrative Review.....	260.00
Temporary Use Vendor License	50.00
Variances to Subdivision Regulations	424.00
Right-of-Way Vacations	640.00
Rezoning Petition:	
First Acre.....	1,805.00
Additional Acre.....	70.00
Public Hearing Postponements (Petitioner Requested).....	47.00

Ordinance Text Amendment	1,805.00
Subdivision Plat	1,415.00
Each lot over 100 lots.....	9.50
Subdivision Open Space and One-Family Cluster Development	790.00
Tree Preservation – Site Plans and Plats	895.00
Tree Preservation – Single Family Lot (less than one acre).....	195.00
Tree Preservation Administrative Fee.....	25% of landscape plan inspection fees
Tree Preservation Inspection Fee (per inspection/re-inspection)	Payroll + 175%
No Tree Affidavit.....	126.00
Site Plan Review:	
First Acre.....	433.00
Additional Acre	72.00
Site Plan Review by Planning Commission:	
First Acre.....	581.00
Additional Acre	72.00
As Built Revisions	256.00
Master Land Use Report	40.00
Master Land Use Map.....	12.75
Subdivision Plat Print (per sheet).....	12.75
Subordination of Lien	150.00
Zoning Board of Appeals:	
Regular Meeting.....	430.00
Special Meeting.....	855.00
Zoning Compliance Letter	63.00
Landscape Plan Inspection.....	25% of site plan fees
Municipal Civil Infraction:	
Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third or Subsequent Repeat Offenses	1,500.00

Sec. 3.10

POLICE DEPARTMENT:

Police Reports:	
First Page (Non-FOIA)	12.00
Additional Pages (Non-FOIA)	2.00
Clearance Letter	17.00
Noncriminal Fingerprint Card.....	26.00
Photos: 8" x 10" Color (Non-FOIA)	34.00
5" x 7" Color (Non-FOIA)	32.00
8" x 10" Contact Sheet (Non-FOIA).....	32.00
Compact Disc (Non-FOIA).....	47.00
Administrative Towing Fees: (Charged to Towing Company)	
Inspection of VIN.....	24.00
Reports on TR-52 Tracking.....	29.00
Administration and Presence at Auction	600.00
Audio Tape Duplication Fee (Non-FOIA)	43.00
Video Tape, DVD, CD Duplication Fee (Non-FOIA)	47.00
Animal Give-up Fee:	

Regular City Council Meeting

Tuesday, January 3, 2017

Page 44

No Euthanasia	40.00
Euthanasia	75.00
Animal Impoundment Fee (Released from Station)	32.00
Macomb County Daily Animal Care Fee.....	21.00
Animal Trap Rental Fee (per 5 days).....	50.00
Animal 10-Day Quarantine Fee	250.00
Rabies Testing:	
Euthanasia Not Required.....	77.00
Euthanasia Required.....	152.00
Treatment Rendered to an Animal	Cost
Use of Tranquilizer Equipment.....	155.00
County Animal Control Officer Fee	Cost
Personal Breathalyzer Test (PBT).....	25.00
Booking Photo (Non-FOIA)	11.00
Notary Fee for Gun Permits	10.00
Park Alcohol Permit Fee	35.00
Temporary Liquor License Application Fee	35.00
Outdoor Service Permit.....	263.00
Requested Police Services (Schools, Businesses, Hazmat Incidents, etc.)	Cost + 30%
Towed Vehicle Impound Fee	30.00
Correctable Traffic Violation.....	10.00
Warrant Fee (All Warrants)	10.00
False Alarm Fees: (within a 12 month period)	
First Response	No fee
Second Response.....	No fee
Third Response (Residential).....	36.00
Third Response (Non-Residential).....	101.00
Fourth Response (Residential)	68.00
Fourth Response (Non-Residential)	205.00
Fifth and Subsequent Responses (Residential)	135.00
Fifth and Subsequent Responses (Non-Residential)	405.00
Municipal Civil Infraction (including Animal Control Regulations):	
Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,500.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Prohibited Parking during a Snow Emergency:	
Each Violation.....	25.00
First Repeat Offense.....	100.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	125.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Youth Curfew Violations:	
Each Violation.....	25.00
First Repeat Offense.....	50.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	100.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Violation of Bicycle Regulations (City Parks):	
Each Violation.....	10.00
First Repeat Offense.....	25.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	50.00

Sec. 3.11

PUBLIC LIBRARY:

Overdue fines (per day):	
Hardcover Books (maximum \$15.00).....	0.25
Paperback Books (maximum \$5.00).....	0.25
Magazines (maximum \$5.00).....	0.25
Audios (maximum \$15.00).....	0.25
Compact Discs (maximum \$15.00).....	0.25
DVD's (maximum \$15.00).....	0.25
Replacement Library Card.....	3.00
Suburban Library Cooperative Non-Resident Library Card.....	200.00
Black & White Copy/Computer Print.....	0.10
Color Copy/Computer Print.....	1.00
Microfilm/Microfiche Print (per copy).....	0.20
Internet Use Without Library Card (per day).....	4.00
Municipal Civil Infraction:	
Each Violation.....	75.00
First Repeat Offense.....	150.00
Second or Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	300.00

Sec. 3.12

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:

Sewer Inspection.....	105.00
Sewer Tap.....	1,150.00
Sewer Capital/Unit	
Residential.....	855.00
Commercial/Industrial (per 1,000 sq. ft.).....	440.00
Sewer Frontage (per front ft.).....	71.00
Sewage Disposal Service Only (per billing).....	80.00
Residential and Commercial Water Meters:	
¾" Remote.....	395.00
1" Remote.....	450.00
1 ½" Remote.....	770.00
2" Compound Remote.....	2,000.00
3" Compound Remote.....	3,530.00
4" Compound Remote.....	4,950.00
6" Compound Remote.....	7,340.00
Double Check Detector Assembly with Meter:	
3".....	1,970.00
4".....	2,070.00
6".....	2,970.00
8".....	5,380.00
10".....	7,830.00
Water Inspection.....	105.00
Water Tap	
1".....	1,090.00
1 ½".....	1,490.00
2".....	1,740.00
3".....	3,490.00

Regular City Council Meeting

Tuesday, January 3, 2017

Page 46

4"	3,770.00
6"	4,310.00
Additional Charge for 86 ft. wide street:	
1"	350.00
1 1/2"	400.00
2"	540.00
Additional Charge for 120 ft. wide street:	
1"	690.00
1 1/2"	890.00
2"	1,150.00
Additional Charge for 204 ft. wide street:1,440.00	
1"	1,640.00
1 1/2"	2,090.00
2"	2,980.00
Water Capital/Unit:	
Residential.....	670.00
Commercial/Industrial (per 1,000 sq. ft.).....	360.00
Water Frontage (per front ft.).....	40.00
Monitor Surcharge	per City of Detroit Industrial Waste Control Rate Structure
Water Turn On Fee	91.00
Water Service Fee (avoidable & repeat visits).....	91.00
Water Service Abandonment Fee:	
Residential.....	470.00
Commercial	Contract Costs + 940.00
Sewer Service Abandonment Fee	105.00
Water Service Re-Use Fee	
Residential.....	470.00
Commercial	Contract Costs + 940.00
Sewer Service Re-Use Fee	105.00
Water Meter Testing Fee.....	104.00
Water Meter Rescheduling Fee	91.00
Non-Compliance with Back Flow Fee	214.00
Automatic Fixed Network Meter Read Permit	174.00
Final Water Meter Read.....	35.00
Final Water & Sewer Bill Preparation Fee	14.00
Citizen Water Service Request – after hours	91.00
Public Works Services Provided.....	Cost + 25%
Water Meter Removal/Reinstallation for common area irrigation system.....	199.00
Landlord/Tenant Affidavit Filing Fee	155.00
Fire Hydrant Rental – Payable by City	26.50
Private Use of Fire Hydrant:	
Per Hydrant	110.00 per month + 330.00 water usage deposit
Bypass Inspection Fee.....	Back bill + 140.00
Common Area Irrigation System Permit.....	390.00
Planting of tree in right-of-way.....	205.00
Culvert installation permit	94.00
Ditch enclosure permit	94.00
Monitoring well installation permit	450.00
Sewer connection to discharge treated ground water.....	450.00
Cutting of noxious weeds.....	Contract costs + 60% administrative fee + 34.00 fine

Special Pickup/Additional Refuse Collection	Contract costs + 25% administrative fee
Refuse Collection Fees (Schools)	Contract costs
Refuse Collection Fees (Mobile Home Parks and Apartment Complexes)	Contract costs
.....	+ 5% administrative fee – refuse taxes paid
Curbside Recycling License (min. \$1,500/year)	1% of program revenue based upon previous year
Debris Removal Fee	Contract Costs + 25% administrative fee
Tree Branch Chipping Fee	65.00
Fleet Services Requested by Other Cities	Cost + 30%
Municipal Civil Infraction:	
Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,500.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Trash Placed at Curb Outside of Permitted Hours:	
Each Violation.....	25.00
First Repeat Offense.....	50.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	100.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Violation of a Mandatory Water Restriction:	
Each Violation.....	25.00
First Repeat Offense.....	50.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	100.00
Municipal Civil Infraction for Raking Leaves Into Street:	
Each Violation.....	25.00
First Repeat Offense.....	50.00
Second and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	100.00

Sec. 3.13

TREASURY OFFICE:

Bounced Check Fee	30.00
Delinquent Bill to Tax Roll Penalty (excluding Water & Sewer).....	17%
Late Payment Penalty.....	6%
Penalty Assessment on Delinquent Taxes.....	3%
Attorney Review Fee for Liens or Discharges	79.00
Subordination of Lien	510.00
Annual Lien Penalty.....	6% + lien filing costs
O.U.I.L./O.U.I.D.	325.00 + additional reimbursements
Property Tax Administration Fee (P.T.A.F.)	1%
Municipal Civil Infraction for Failure to Pay Police or Fire False Alarm Invoices:	
Each Violation.....	150.00
First Repeat Offense.....	375.00
Second Repeat Offense	750.00
Third and Subsequent Repeat Offenses.....	1,500.00

ARTICLE IV

The City Manager is hereby authorized to make transfers within the budgetary centers established in this ordinance but all transfers between budgetary centers, contingencies, reserves, and fund balances shall be

made only by further action of the City Council pursuant to law; the City Manager is hereby authorized to sign letters of severance after notifying the City Council and establish City programs which are a de minimis, but necessary expenditure for the benefit of the recipient employee and funded through appropriations in this ordinance; the City Manager is hereby authorized to release bidding documents for those capital items and recurring commodities expressly authorized within appropriations in this ordinance for public review by the City Council following receipt of bids.

ARTICLE V

SEVERABILITY

If any clause, sentence, paragraph, or part of this ordinance, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, shall for any reason be adjudged by any Court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, said judgment shall not affect, impair, or invalidate the remainder of this ordinance and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph, or part thereof directly involved in the controversy in which such judgment shall have been rendered and to the person or circumstances involved. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent of this body that the ordinance would have been adopted had such invalid provision not been included.

ARTICLE VI

EFFECTIVE DATE

This ordinance shall become effective upon publication.

AYES: Koski, Ziarko, Schmidt, Shannon, Skrzyniarz, Taylor.

NAYES: None

ABSENT: None

City of Sterling Heights
City Clerk

INTRODUCED: 12/20/16
ADOPTED: 01/03/17
PUBLISHED: 01/11/17
EFFECTIVE: 01/11/17

3. **CONSENT AGENDA**

Moved by Koski, seconded by Taylor, **RESOLVED**, to approve the Consent

Agenda:

- A. To approve the minutes of the Regular Meeting of December 20, 2016, as presented.
- B. To approve payment of the bills as presented: General Fund - \$381,236.10, Water & Sewer Fund - \$143,813.66, Other Funds - \$1,128,402.16, Total Checks - \$1,653,451.92.
- C. **RESOLVED**, to direct the City Attorney to prepare the necessary agreement to vacate three (3) existing water main easements for the proposed Mayco International Building Additions, Section 9, PSP16-0032, EG16-0035, and the Mayor and City Clerk are hereby authorized to sign the agreement on behalf of the City; AND
RESOLVED, that City Council indicates no objection to the issuance of a building permit for the Mayco International Building Additions, Section 9, PSP16-0032, EG16-0035, subject to the following:
 1. That site plans and building plans be approved by City Administration prior to issuance of a building permit;
 2. That the Applicant pay all costs incurred by the City in the preparation of the easement vacation document(s), including attorney fees; and
 3. That Applicant agrees in writing to indemnify and hold the City, its officers and employees, harmless from all damages to persons or property arising from construction activities in the existing easements prior to completion of the vacation process.

- D. **RESOLVED**, to award the bid for medical and physical evaluations of Sterling Heights firefighters to Professional Health Services, Inc., 83 South Eagle Road, Havertown, PA 19083, at unit pricing bid.

Yes: All. The motion carried.

4. CONSIDERATION

Mayor Taylor stated this is a request by the Warren Education Association's Scholarship Foundation for recognition as a local non-profit organization for the purpose of obtaining a State of Michigan Charitable Gaming License.

Mr. Bob Callender, President of the Warren Education Association (WEA), as well as President of the WEA Scholarship Foundation, stated they have close to 900 teachers they represent throughout Warren Consolidated Schools, and they are honored to offer a scholarship each year to one of their high schools, as well as their community high school program. They provide a minimum \$1,000 scholarship to each of those programs, and they have they have been running their WEA non-profit Scholarship Foundation since 2008. They have funded their scholarships through member contributions, generally in the form of a bowling fundraiser. They would like to hold a reverse raffle, but are first required to be recognized as a non-profit organization by the State of Michigan. They make sure their scholarship applicants are planning to attend public universities within the State of Michigan, so the dollars go back into the local colleges.

Moved by Schmidt, seconded by Ziarko, **RESOLVED**, that the request from The Warren Education Association Scholarship Foundation, of Sterling Heights, County of Macomb, asking that they be recognized as a nonprofit organization operating in the community for the purpose of obtaining a charitable gaming license be considered for approval; provided, however, that this action is not an endorsement of the organization and the City of Sterling Heights should not be listed on any promotional materials associated with their charitable gaming activities.

Councilwoman Schmidt stated this is a technicality that is required of all non-profits to go through the State of Michigan for fund-raising purposes. She commented that this organization does a great thing for the kids in Sterling Heights, and she appreciated their hard work.

Yes: All. The motion carried.

5. Mr. Chris McLeod presented a request under consideration for a First Amended Consent Judgment in the case, *Mount 19, LLC vs. City of Sterling Heights*, Macomb County Circuit Court Case No. 77-3913-CH to facilitate the construction and operation of a gasoline service station on property situated on the east side of Mound Road, between Goff and Stacy Avenues. He provided background for this property, explaining that the City entered into a Consent Judgment with the original plaintiffs in 1977 to allow the property to be developed with uses permitted under B-1 Local Business District, which is similar to the C-1 zoning designation in the City's current Zoning Ordinance. The property was developed

with a delicatessen and market selling liquor. Mr. McLeod reviewed the zoning of and developments on the surrounding parcels, and stated the subject property is indicated on the Master Plan as “Transitional”. The proposal is for the addition of six (6) gasoline pumps and a covered canopy, and the retail portion with liquor sales will remain. He outlined the improvements that will be made to the site, as well as the petitioner’s willingness to limit the hours of operation for the new gasoline service station to between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m. Mr. McLeod addressed the landscaping, which will bring the sign into compliance with the required street frontage trees, shrubs and berm. The petitioner provided a photometric study indicating the lighting will be at zero before it gets to the eastern property line. He addressed a sign variance which would permit a second ground sign that would provide for posting of daily gasoline prices. He confirmed that residents and business owners within 300 feet of the property were notified of this meeting.

Mr. Phil Ruggeri, representing the petitioner, stated they have assured the neighbors there will not be a problem with this development, and met with two of the five neighbors on Mulberry, having talked on the phone with another two neighbors. They were each provided plans, and other than one neighbor who did not want anything to go on that property, the others did not voice objections. He had pointed out to them the proposed landscaping along the 6-foot wall, stating there is a 47-foot buffer. He showed some photographs taken from the residential properties looking at the subject site, and he stated with the proposed addition of the berms and

trees, along with the wall, it will be difficult for the residents to see the commercial development from their properties. He stated there will be no noise because there are no sound devices on the pumps. Mr. Ruggeri introduced the owner of the current market, stating the owner spent over \$1 million on that property and is now investing another \$650,000 on the pumps. Mr. Ruggeri cited other gas stations that have liquor sales, including Meijers, and he emphasized that the owner wants to be a good neighbor.

Mr. Chris Leer – liked the idea of trees and wall but questioned what happens if trees die; opposed to request; does not feel the area needs another gas station.

Mr. Michael Pantano – resident of Sterling Heights for 30+ years; opposed to proposal; concerned it is crowded into a small area and potentially hazardous to neighbors; concerned about potential traffic problems and hours of operation; gas stations should be on corner parcels.

Resident (unidentified) – opposed to proposal; liquor sales and gas sales on same property are not a good mix; unneeded service to community because there are other gas stations at corners of major intersections; concerned with traffic, noise, fumes and underground tanks.

Mr. Charles Jefferson – questioned whether notification went out to residents within 300 feet.

Ms. Jazmine Early – questioned a gas station in the middle of the block.

Mr. Joe Judnick – did not receive notification but estimated he is within 300 feet of the subject parcel; neutral on the proposal but stated residents in opposition have good arguments; many gas stations in the area do not sell liquor.

Mr. Giulio Russo – questioned definition of “light industrial”; opposed to a gas station at this location.

Mr. Paul Smith – discussed landscaping and installation of gas pumps at subject location.

Moved by Skrzyniarz, seconded by Taylor, **RESOLVED**, to approve the First Amended Consent Judgment for entry in *Mound 19 LLC vs. City of Sterling Heights*, Macomb County Circuit Court Case No. 77-3913-CH, and authorize the City Attorney to sign all documents required in conjunction with this approval.

Councilman Skrzyniarz stated the trees will help to buffer the noise and improve the aesthetics of the site. He could not see how this is an undue burden for residents behind this location, especially considering the additional landscape being put in. He supports the request.

Councilwoman Schmidt questioned whether Council is legally obligated to amend the Consent Judgment. She sympathized with the petitioner but stated he is not the original owner. She stated the land use for the property is currently retail, but the addition of the gas pumps would add a use that is more intense in the area. She stated her opposition to the request and will be voting “no” on the motion.

Councilwoman Koski inquired as to whether the Amended Consent Judgment will require the trees must be kept alive or otherwise they will have to be replaced.

Mr. Kaszubski replied that the petitioner is required to maintain all the trees and the petitioner is required to replace them if they die. If he does not replace them, the City can do it and bill the property owner. He stated the matter could also be handled through the court as part of the condition of the Consent Judgment.

Councilwoman Koski stated the City is encouraging the update of commercial buildings and businesses, but questioned a gas station in the middle of the block.

Mr. McLeod stated gas stations are typically on corners because they are easier to buffer and require bi-directional traffic, but the proposed plan provides a very aesthetic gas station, and they have mitigated all potential nuisances. They are also bringing the landscaping into compliance with the current ordinance requirements.

Councilwoman Koski stated the landscaping they are offering is good. She stated her rear yard backs up to Hayes, and there is a gas station across the street. Between her 6-foot fence and several spruce trees planted on a berm, she does not see the gas station at all, and does not hear any noise emanating from the site. The proposed landscaping is denser than what she has in her backyard, so once the trees grow, the neighbors will appreciate it. She questioned how the tankers will access the site to fill the tanks with only one ingress and egress.

Mr. McLeod stated the trucks will be forced to make several turns on the site, and they will have to work it out because the City will not allow them to back into or out of the site.

Councilwoman Koski questioned whether that is something that should be worked out prior to approval.

Mr. McLeod replied that it is possible but it will involve the business making sure the truck driver complies. The truck will have to pull in, travel along the south end of the site, and this will have to occur during off hours because they will need the parking spaces along the east side in order to maneuver the truck.

Councilman Shannon stated it will be difficult for the trucks to get in and out, but that is something the business owner must work out. He stated this is a business where there is already a lot of traffic.

Mr. McLeod replied to inquiry that the Master Plan indicates that residential properties be transitioned out along Mound Road.

Councilman Shannon stated it may not be an ideal location for a gas station because a corner location would draw more business from traffic in all directions. He stated, however, that the petitioner owns his business at this location and he wants to put the gas pumps in to bring more people into his store so he can make more money. He wished the owner success in this endeavor. He compared it to Zazz Gas Station on 15 Mile, west of Mound, where the owner does great business because of his low gas prices. He did not anticipate the fumes will be a problem because they can be mitigated. He was in support of the proposal.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko stated this is the reverse of the typical pattern, where the owner generally starts with a gas station and adds a convenience store. She questioned where there is another gas station located in such close proximity to residential homes.

Mr. McLeod replied that Zazz Gas Station is situated on property that is adjacent to the residentially-zoned property to the west, but the distance may be slightly greater than in the proposed development.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko inquired as to whether there are any other gas stations in the area that have only one ingress/egress.

Mr. McLeod replied to inquiry that he could not recall any other stations with one ingress/egress, although he is not opposed to having one. The trend for a gas station or standard retail site is to reduce curb cuts.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko stated she is opposed to this proposal because of its proximity to residential property and because of it having only one ingress/egress.

Mayor Taylor addressed an earlier comment about no right turns allowed onto Higgins Avenue, but clarified that is not because of the speed limit on Mound, but it is so motorists do not use that street as a cut-through. He stated his main concern is the abutting residential area and what the petitioner is doing to mitigate any impact on those residents. He stated concern has been expressed in the past about the “small business owners”, and he questioned what the City will be doing for this business owner if they deny this request for him to expand. He stated the current owner has been a model business owner in the City, and he is doing

everything he can to have a minimal impact on the surrounding properties. Mayor Taylor stated that Zazz Gas Station is not on a corner, has two ingress/egress points on a very tight site, and is close to residential property. He stated this is a good proposal for what the applicant wants to do, and he is in support of it.

Councilwoman Koski inquired as to what will happen to the overhead wire over the rear portion of the site.

Mr. McLeod replied there is a utility pole located in the current parking lot, and the main purpose of the "island" is to protect that utility pole. The utility pole and overhead line will remain in that location, and will still provide sufficient room for emergency vehicle clearance. Relocating the line to the east would result in a significant cost, as well as limiting what can be planted in that area.

Councilwoman Koski inquired as to whether Mr. McLeod is satisfied with this project.

Mr. McLeod replied that, in terms of having a gas station on this site, the petitioner is providing a good plan, with plantings on as much of the property as possible, a decorative canopy over the gas pumps, the addition of a dumpster enclosure, provision for a patio space, inclusion of a pedestrian connection to the walkway on Mound Road, limited hours of operation and no audible devices on the pumps. He stated if they are going to have a gas station at this location, this is the best plan, and the question is whether the City feels comfortable having a gas station at this location.

Yes: Skrzyniarz, Taylor, Shannon, Koski.

No: Ziarko, Schmidt. The motion carried.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS

Mr. Michael Radtke Jr. – mural at Ventimiglia Italian Foods was covered due to ordinance violation.

Mr. Joel Thomas – work done at James Nelson Park; questioned whether garbage can has been removed from the river.

Ms. Sanaa Elias – City Council vacancy and her support of Ms. Early.

Mr. John Spica – City Council vacancy and his support of Ms. Sierawski.

Mr. Charles Jefferson – petitions for those interested in City Council seat; questioned meeting schedule for budget; condition of Mound Road.

Mr. Giulio Russo – proposed gas station located in close proximity to residential properties; violations issued for businesses not removing snow; cost of water meters.

Mr. Thomas Neil – public comments and subsequent follow-up by City; maintenance schedule of sewer lines; condition of Schoenherr north of 15 Mile Road.

Mr. Paul Smith – pleased with large number of well-qualified applicants for vacant City Council seat; ordinances clearly state that signs painted on walls, aka “murals”, are not allowed.

Mr. Dennis White – questioned public comment time beginning much later than 10 p.m. at recent meetings.

Mr. Joe Judnick – Mr. Romano’s final comments at his last meeting;
protocol for police and firefighters with regard to drunk driving.

6. Moved by Ziarko, seconded by Skrzyniarz, **RESOLVED**, to postpone the appointment to the Beautification Commission to the February 21, 2017 regular City Council Meeting.

Yes: All. The motion carried.

REPORTS FROM CITY ADMINISTRATION AND CITY COUNCIL

Mr. Vanderpool reported that the Budget Hearing schedule will be presented at the next City Council Meeting. He addressed earlier comments about the poor condition of Mound Road, stating it is a county road and the City has no jurisdiction over it. It is estimated to cost \$150 million to reconstruct it, and until funding is secured for that project, the County is left with repairing Mound on an as-needed basis, currently spending between \$2 million and \$3 million for the repairs. He assured the County, as well as the City of Warren and the City of Sterling Heights, is doing all they can to help secure the funding. He added that Schoenherr is also a county road, and is scheduled to be redone next year beginning at 15 Mile Road and going north to Metro Parkway. He hoped the County will soon be announcing improvements to Metro Parkway from Van Dyke to Schoenherr, which is also in bad shape and needs to be redone.

Mr. Kaszubski stated he has nothing to report.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS/ NEW BUSINESS

Councilman Skrzyniarz stated he has been asked by residents what they can do to help out the police officers and firefighters in appreciation for their dedicated service to the community. He added it is especially difficult for them having to be outside every day in these cold months, and he suggested dropping by the station with donuts or a baked good of some type would be a nice gesture that is certainly not asked for but would be appreciated. Councilman Skrzyniarz addressed an earlier comment made by a citizen, and requested that citizens who approach Council should do so with respect. He stated reducing the 7-minute time limit for public comments was brought up last year and was voted down, but he stated he would like to see that time limit lowered. He felt three minutes is more than enough time for a resident to make a public comment, and he indicated he would be willing to vote in favor of lowering that time limit to three or four minutes.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko inquired as to who can bring a discussion on time limitation for public comments back to the Council for a discussion.

Mr. Kaszubski replied to inquiry that it can be brought back to the City Council for a new discussion and a new vote.

Mayor Pro-Tem Ziarko reported that the County Executive is looking into the water bills, but at this point, they do not know where the money is going to come from to pay for the recent sewer break, and that is currently their priority.

Councilman Shannon stated that each presentation made by applicants for the vacant City Council seat was limited to three minutes, and in that time frame, they were each able to sell themselves and address their goals and vision for the City.

He stated being in favor of having a three-minute limit on public comments if it comes up for discussion again at a City Council meeting.

Councilwoman Schmidt addressed a resident's concern about the Communications from Citizens portion of the meeting not starting at 10 p.m. She explained that is because the Council finishes discussion and necessary action on the agenda item they are currently discussing at 10 p.m., and once that is completed, they then go to the Communications from Citizens. Councilwoman Schmidt inquired as to whether there is an option available to residents and business owners with regard to the type of water meter, and whether the cost of that meter is being discussed with the customer prior to installation.

Councilwoman Koski responded to a citizen's earlier comment by stating the reason she asked Mr. McLeod so many questions is because he is a city planner. She is not a city planner, does not have her own agenda, and wants to think, reason and question in order to make a decision that is in the best interest of the City of Sterling Heights, which includes all residents. She stated she was drawing on his expertise as a planner so she could make her decision.

Mayor Taylor stated the presentations made tonight by the applicants for the vacant City Council seat were phenomenal, and that each applicant carefully prepared and condensed their presentation to meet the three-minute time limit. He did not see where another three or four minutes would have been a benefit, and added that if the discussion comes back to the Council regarding length of

time permitted for citizen comments, he would be willing to consider a reduction of the current seven-minute limit.

ADJOURN

Moved by Ziarko, seconded by Schmidt, to adjourn the meeting.

Yes: All. The motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:29 p.m.

MARK CARUFEL, City Clerk